

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1238 of 1987

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
NAVNIRMAN KELAVANI MANDAL TRUST,

Versus

JATIN CHANDULAL TEJURA  
-----

Appearance:

MR JR NANAVATI for Petitioners

MR SURESH M SHAH for Respondent No. 10,11,12

None present for other Respondents  
-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 27/01/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Shri Shah states that A.O. No.13 of 1988 has already been decided by this court. However, he states that a note filed for speaking to minutes is still pending for last more than one year.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

3. Under the impugned order, the learned trial court on objection raised by the trust, hold that Shri M.B. Yagnik has no authority to act on behalf of the trust. This is the matter in between two advocates in fact and the parties who engaged them. The order passed by the trial court does not fall under any of the clauses (a) (b) or (c) of subsection (1) of section 115, C.P.C.. Moreover, in case this order is allowed to stand, it will not occasion any failure of justice nor will cause any irreparable injury to the petitioner.

4. The revision application is dismissed. Rule discharged. The matter is of the year 1985. If the same is not decided so far, the court below is directed to decide the same within a period of six months from the date of receipt of writ of this order.

\*\*\*\*\*

zgs/-